arXiv:1411.1740v2 [astro-ph.EP] 11 Nov 2014

Seismology of Giant Planets

In support of chapter 14 of the book Extraterrestrial Seismology,
to be published in Cambridge University Press in 2015

Patrick Gaulme[1,2], Benoît Mosser[3], François-Xavier Schmider[4], Tristan Guillot[4], Jason Jackiewicz[1]

[1] Department of Astronomy, New Mexico State University, P.O. Box 30001, MSC 4500, Las Cruces, NM 88003-8001, USA

[2] Apache Point Observatory, 2001 Apache Point Road, P.O. Box 59, Sunspot, NM 88349, USA

[3] LESIA, CNRS, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Université Denis Diderot, Observatoire de Paris, 92195 Meudon cedex, France

[4] Laboratoire Lagrange, Université de Nice Sophia Antipolis, UMR 7293, Observatoire de la Cote d'Azur (OCA), Nice, France

Abstract

Seismology applied to giant planets could drastically change our understanding of their deep interiors, as it has happened with the Earth, the Sun, and many main-sequence and evolved stars. The study of giant planets' composition is important for understanding both the mechanisms enabling their formation and the origins of planetary systems, in particular our own. Unfortunately, its determination is complicated by the fact that their interior is thought not to be homogeneous, so that spectroscopic determinations of atmospheric abundances are probably not representative of the planet as a whole. Instead, the determination of their composition and structure must rely on indirect measurements and interior models. Giant planets are mostly fluid and convective, which makes their seismology much closer to that of solar-like stars than that of terrestrial planets. Hence, helioseismology techniques naturally transfer to giant planets. In addition, two alternative methods can be used: photometry of the solar light reflected by planetary atmospheres, and ring seismology in the specific case of Saturn. The current decade has been promising thanks to the detection of Jupiter's acoustic oscillations with the ground-based imaging-spectrometer SYMPA and indirect detection of Saturn's f-modes in its rings by the NASA Cassini orbiter. This has motivated new projects of ground-based and space-borne instruments that are under development. The purpose of this paper is to support the chapter *Seismology of Giant Planets* which will appear in May 2015 in the book *Extraterrestrial Seismology*, published in Cambridge University Press. Here, we review the key questions about jovian interiors as well as the most recent observational results, and refer the reader to the book chapter above-mentioned for further details.

1 Interior Structure

The deep internal structures of Jupiter and Saturn, particularly the amount and the radial distribution of heavy elements, are important diagnostics to the formation and evolution of planetary systems in general. Two scenarios are envisioned for the formation of giant planets. The first assumes that cores of rocks and ices are formed by the accumulation of solid planetesimals. When these solid cores grow to about 10 $M_\oplus$ (Earth masses), a phase of rapid H-He gas accretion follows, which leads to the current structure of the planets [Magni and Coradini, 2004]. In this class of models, the resulting planets are generally enriched in heavy elements. In the second scenario, giant planets form by gravitational instability of the gas in a massive solar nebula [Mayer et al., 2002], resulting in planets with solar chemical compositions and masses probably larger than that of Jupiter. In this scenario, a solid core may form through sedimentation of solid and vaporized material toward the center, or by subsequent capture of solid planetesimals. The gravitational instability scenario requires a relatively massive and cold protoplanetary nebulae to become unstable, while the nucleated instability mechanism can also operate with less massive and hotter disks. Current uncertainties about Jupiter's and Saturn's deep interior structure and composition are large and prevent us from uniquely distinguishing between these two formation mechanisms [Saumon and Guillot, 2004, Militzer et al., 2008, Nettelmann et al., 2012].

Jupiter and Saturn are natural reservoirs of liquid metallic hydrogen and helium at pressures of up to tens of Mbar and temperatures of the order of 10^4 K. Such warm, dense states of matter are very challenging to reproduce in laboratory experiments. Megabar pressures can be achieved in shock compression experiments but usually at temperatures well above those found in giant planets [Saumon and Guillot, 2004]. So far, these experiments have not directly probed the conditions of interest for giant planets. On the other hand, significant progress has been achieved recently in computer simulations of the equations of state of H and He. Oddly, this has not led to a better consensus on the internal structure of Jupiter [Militzer et al., 2008, Nettelmann et al., 2012].

Based on our current knowledge of planetary system formation and of equations of state of dense matter, the debate regarding the internal structure and composition of Jupiter and Saturn can be articulated in terms of four main questions [e.g. Fortney and Nettelmann, 2010]. i) What is the radial distribution of heavy elements? Are they concentrated in a central core or distributed throughout the H-He envelope? If mixing processes have redistributed the heavy elements after its formation, the thermal evolution of the planet would be profoundly affected [Stevenson, 1985, Leconte and Chabrier, 2012]. ii) If a dense central core is present, what are its mass and composition? Current estimates of the core mass of Jupiter range from 0 to 15 $M_\oplus$ and the total mass of heavy elements from 10 to 40 $M_\oplus$ [Saumon and Guillot, 2004, Militzer et al., 2008, Nettelmann et al., 2012]. iii) Which mechanisms dominate the energy transport from the deep interior to the surface of these planets? iv) Do H-He mixtures separate in giant (exo)planets resulting in a depletion of He in the outer envelope?

2 Seismology and Giant Planets

In 2016, NASA's *Juno* mission will make key contributions to our understanding of Jupiter thanks to precise measurements of its gravity and magnetic fields. Unfortunately, the presence of a denser core of heavy elements only weakly influences even the lowest order (quadrupole) deviation in the gravity field and the core mass will remain essentially model-dependent. On the other hand, seismology, which consists of identifying global acoustic eigenmodes (p-modes), complements Juno's science by offering a way to directly measure the planet's sound speed profile, and thus its physical



Figure 1: Schematic representation of the interiors of Jupiter, Saturn, Uranus, and Neptune. The range of temperatures for Jupiter and Saturn is for models neglecting the presence of the inhomogeneous region (adapted from Guillot 1999). Helium mass mixing ratios Y are indicated. The size of the central rock and ice cores of Jupiter and Saturn is very uncertain (see text). Similarly, for Uranus and Neptune, considerable uncertainties exist [Nettelmann et al., 2013]. Recent models of Neptune indicate a wider range of possible solutions of which two representative ones are shown.

properties from the outer envelope to the core.

All of these questions can be addressed with seismology. From an observational point of view, seismology of Jupiter (alternatively Saturn) is a natural extension of helioseismology. Their common fluid nature is expected to lead to similar oscillations and to the possibility of using similar observational techniques. Theoretical works [Vorontsov et al., 1976, Bercovici and Schubert, 1987] predict that Jovian global oscillations should have a frequency range of [0.8, 3.5] mHz with 10 to 100 cm s^{-1} amplitude, values that are comparable to those of the Sun.

Observationally, there have been several attempts to detect Jovian oscillations using infrared photometry [Deming et al., 1989], Doppler spectrometry [Schmider et al., 1991, Mosser et al., 1993, 2000], and careful searches for excitation of acoustic waves due to the impact of the Shoemaker-Levy 9 comet [Walter et al., 1996, Mosser et al., 1996]. In most of these campaigns, the signal-to-noise (SNR) ratio was too low or instrumental artifacts were present that inhibited any positive detection. The fast rotation of Jupiter also limits the precision these instruments were able to obtain.

Jovian seismology had to wait until 2011 to get the first strong evidence of the detection of oscillations using the SYMPA instrument, an imaging spectrometer upon which the new instrumental project "JIVE in NM" is based [Schmider et al., 2007, Gaulme et al., 2008, 2011, Soulat et al.,



Figure 2: Evidence of the first detection of Jupiter's global modes from the SYMPA instrument, similar to the one to be built in this project. It shows the power spectrum of the mean velocity time series obtained in 2005. Excess oscillation power is detected between 800 and 3400 μHz, as well as a comb-like structure of regularly spaced peaks. The thick lines are smoothed data. From Gaulme et al. [2011].

2012]. This instrument was designed to overcome some of the earlier limitations by imaging the full planetary disk, similar to solar helioseismic instruments like GONG [Harvey et al., 1996], MDI/SOHO [Scherrer et al., 1995], and HMI/SDO [Scherrer et al., 2012]. As part of a 10-day observing run in 2005, the SYMPA instrument was able to produce a power spectrum of Jupiter's oscillations shown in Figure 2. An excess of acoustic power is observed in the frequency range predicted by theory, as well as the comb-like structure of peaks that is also expected from interior models, thereby confirming Jupiter's global pulsations. Unfortunately, the level of noise in the data is too high to identify individual modes and decisively probe Jupiter's interior.

Regarding seismology of Saturn, recent analysis of occultation observations using the NASA Cassini spacecraft at Saturn shows exciting evidence of planetary modes that manifest themselves in its rings [Hedman and Nicholson, 2013, Fuller et al., 2014, Marley, 2014]. This possibility was first proposed by Marley [1991] and Marley and Porco [1993]. The basic idea is that wave features in Saturn's C rings could be created by resonant interactions with internal oscillation modes, since these modes perturb the internal density profile and, therefore, the external gravity field. The observations of Hedman and Nicholson [2013] are the *indirect* evidence of these wave forcings [Marley, 2014].

Seismology of giant planets looks similar to asteroseismology in the 1990s, i.e. with a bright future. The current decade has been promising thanks to the detection of Jupiter's acoustic oscillations with the ground-based imaging-spectrometer SYMPA, and indirect detection of Saturn's f-modes in its rings by the NASA Cassini orbiter. This has motivated new projects of ground-based instruments that are under development, such as the JIVE in NM, inherited from SYMPA, which is led by teams from New Mexico State University and Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur. In addition, the NASA Kepler "K2" mission will observe Neptune for about 80 days in early 2015, and likely Uranus in 2016. Even though it is not sure whether visible photometry is appropriate for giant planet seismology, it is a unique opportunity to test this technique. Finally, projects of space instruments are under study, because only a dedicated payload placed on a spacecraft flying-by or orbiting any giant planet will fully exploit the potential of seismology for these planets.

3 References

D. Bercovici and G. Schubert. Jovian seismology. Icarus, 69:557–565, March 1987. doi: 10.1016/0019-1035(87)90024-8.

D. Deming, M. J. Mumma, F. Espenak, D. E. Jennings, T. Kostiuk, G. Wiedemann, R. Loewenstein, and J. Piscitelli. A search for p-mode oscillations of Jupiter - Serendipitous observations of nonacoustic thermal wave structure. ApJ, 343:456–467, August 1989. doi: 10.1086/167719.

J. J. Fortney and N. Nettelmann. The Interior Structure, Composition, and Evolution of Giant Planets. Space Sci. Rev., 152:423–447, May 2010. doi: 10.1007/s11214-009-9582-x.

J. Fuller, D. Lai, and N. I. Storch. Non-radial oscillations in rotating giant planets with solid cores: Application to Saturn and its rings. Icarus, 231:34–50, March 2014. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2013.11.022.

P. Gaulme, F. X. Schmider, J. Gay, C. Jacob, M. Alvarez, M. Reyes-Ruiz, J. A. Belmonte, E. Fossat, F. Jeanneaux, and J.-C. Valtier. SYMPA, a dedicated instrument for Jovian seismology. II. Real performance and first results. A&A, 490:859–871, November 2008. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361:200809512.

P. Gaulme, F.-X. Schmider, J. Gay, T. Guillot, and C. Jacob. Detection of Jovian seismic waves: a new probe of its interior structure. A&A, 531:A104, July 2011. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201116903.

T. Guillot. Interior of Giant Planets Inside and Outside the Solar System. Science, 286:72–77, October 1999.

J. W. Harvey, F. Hill, R. P. Hubbard, J. R. Kennedy, J. W. Leibacher, J. A. Pintar, P. A. Gilman, R. W. Noyes, A. M. Title, J. Toomre, R. K. Ulrich, A. Bhatnagar, J. A. Kennewell, W. Marquette, J. Patron, O. Saa, and E. Yasukawa. The Global Oscillation Network Group (GONG) Project. Science, 272:1284–1286, May 1996. doi: 10.1126/science.272.5266.1284.

M. M. Hedman and P. D. Nicholson. Kronoseismology: Using Density Waves in Saturn's C Ring to Probe the Planet's Interior. AJ, 146:12, July 2013. doi: 10.1088/0004-6256/146/1/12.

J. Leconte and G. Chabrier. A new vision of giant planet interiors: Impact of double diffusive convection. A&A, 540:A20, April 2012. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201117595.

G. Magni and A. Coradini. Formation of Jupiter by nucleated instability. PSS, 52:343–360, April 2004. doi: 10.1016/j.pss.2003.08.028.

M. S. Marley. Nonradial oscillations of Saturn. Icarus, 94:420–435, December 1991. doi: 10.1016/0019-1035(91)90239-P.

M. S. Marley. Saturn ring seismology: Looking beyond first order resonances. Icarus, 234:194–199, May 2014. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2014.02.002.

M. S. Marley and C. C. Porco. Planetary acoustic mode seismology - Saturn's rings. Icarus, 106:508, December 1993. doi: 10.1006/icar.1993.1189.

L. Mayer, T. Quinn, J. Wadsley, and J. Stadel. Formation of Giant Planets by Fragmentation of Protoplanetary Disks. Science, 298:1756–1759, November 2002. doi: 10.1126/science.1077635.

B. Militzer, W. B. Hubbard, J. Vorberger, I. Tamblyn, and S. A. Bonev. A Massive Core in Jupiter Predicted from First-Principles Simulations. ApJL, 688:L45–L48, November 2008. doi: 10.1086/594364.

B. Mosser, D. Mekarnia, J. P. Maillard, J. Gay, D. Gautier, and P. Delache. Seismological observations with a Fourier transform spectrometer - Detection of Jovian oscillations. A&A, 267: 604–622, January 1993.

B. Mosser, P. Galdemard, P. Lagage, E. Pantin, M. Sauvage, P. Lognonne, D. Gautier, F. Billebaud, T. Livengood, and H. U. Kaufl. Impact Seismology: A Search for Primary Pressure Waves Following Impacts A and H. Icarus, 121:331–340, June 1996. doi: 10.1006/icar.1996.0091.

B. Mosser, J. P. Maillard, and D. Mékarnia. New Attempt at Detecting the Jovian Oscillations. Icarus, 144:104–113, March 2000. doi: 10.1006/icar.1999.6271.

N. Nettelmann, A. Becker, B. Holst, and R. Redmer. Jupiter Models with Improved Ab Initio Hydrogen Equation of State. ApJ, 750:52, May 2012. doi: 10.1088/0004-637/750/1/52.

N. Nettelmann, R. Helled, J. J. Fortney, and R. Redmer. New indication for a dichotomy in the interior structure of Uranus and Neptune from the application of modified shape and rotation data. PSS, 77:143–151, March 2013. doi: 10.1016/j.pss.2012.06.019.

D. Saumon and T. Guillot. Shock Compression of Deuterium and the Interiors of Jupiter and Saturn. ApJ, 609:1170–1180, July 2004. doi: 10.1086/421257.

P. H. Scherrer, R. S. Bogart, R. I. Bush, J. T. Hoeksema, A. G. Kosovichev, J. Schou, W. Rosenberg, L. Springer, T. D. Tarbell, A. Title, C. J. Wolfson, I. Zayer, and MDI Engineering Team. The Solar Oscillations Investigation - Michelson Doppler Imager. Solar Physics, 162:129–188, December 1995. doi: 10.1007/BF00733429.

P. H. Scherrer, J. Schou, R. I. Bush, A. G. Kosovichev, R. S. Bogart, J. T. Hoeksema, Y. Liu, T. L. Duvall, J. Zhao, A. M. Title, C. J. Schrijver, T. D. Tarbell, and S. Tomczyk. The Helioseismic and Magnetic Imager (HMI) Investigation for the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO). Solar Physics, 275:207–227, January 2012. doi: 10.1007/s11207-011-9834-2.

F.-X. Schmider, E. Fossat, and B. Mosser. Possible detection of Jovian global oscillations. A&A, 248:281–291, August 1991.

F. X. Schmider, J. Gay, P. Gaulme, C. Jacob, L. Abe, M. Alvarez, J. A. Belmonte, E. Fossat, B. Gelly, T. Guillot, F. Jeanneaux, B. Mosser, and J.-C. Valtier. SYMPA, a dedicated instrument for Jovian seismology. I. Principle and performance. A&A, 474:1073–1080, November 2007. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361:20067019.

L. Soulat, F.-X. Schmider, S. Robbe-Dubois, T. Appourchaux, Y. Bresson, J.-B. Daban, P. Gaulme, J. Gay, and C. Gouvret. Echoes: a new instrumental concept of spectro-imaging for Jovian seismology. In Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, volume 8442 of Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, September 2012. doi: 10.1117/12.924875.

D. J. Stevenson. Cosmochemistry and structure of the giant planets and their satellites. Icarus, 62:4–15, April 1985. doi: 10.1016/0019-1035(85)90168-X.

S. V. Vorontsov, V. N. Zharkov, and V. M. Lubimov. The free oscillations of Jupiter and Saturn. Icarus, 27:109–118, January 1976. doi: 10.1016/0019-1035(76)90187-1.

C. M. Walter, M. S. Marley, D. M. Hunten, A. L. Sprague, W. K. Wells, A. Dayal, W. F. Hoffmann, M. V. Sykes, L. K. Deutsch, G. G. Fazio, and J. L. Hora. A Search for Seismic Waves from the Impact of the SL/9 R Fragment. Icarus, 121:341–350, June 1996. doi: 10.1006/icar.1996.0092.